#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction



Dr. Barbara Hartley Grimes NonPoint Source Program Coordinator Onsite Wastewater Section DEH/ NCDENR 18th Annual Onsite Conference

#### Wastewater treatment

based on attached microbial growth

#### Support materials

a wide variety

#### Two important factors

- flow of wastewater
- size of support material particles

# Variety of Fixed Film Filters

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction

#### Fixed media

- sand
- gravel
- plastic
- activated carbon
- peat
- other

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction

#### "Trickle" Filters

- sand
- gravel
- plastic
- activated carbon
- peat
- other

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction Single Pass Filters

- Peat
- Pea gravel
- Crushed glass
- Experimental media
- Sand (the best understood and most predictable)

# FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction

#### **RMF Recirculating Media Filters**

- Sand (most widely used)
- Peat
- Textiles

> Rotating cylinders with attached biofilm in wastewater flow

Submerged Filters

Fixed Film Filters Their Biofilms in Relation To Pathogen Reduction

# FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT *Biofilms*

Biofilms are highly stratified with microbes and matrix

Microorganisms attach to solid materials

- Microorganisms can reach high concentrations
- Microbial growth rates depend upon
  - flow rates
  - size and geometric configuration of particles
    - (more surface area of particles = more growth surface)

# FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT *Biofilms*

#### Biofilm/Zoogleal (animal gunk) film formation

- bacteria
- fungi
- algae
- protozoa
- nematodes
- rotifers
- annelid worms (mini- aquatic earthworms)
- insect larvae/filter fly larvae

#### Biofilms/Zoogleal Film Formation & Function in Pathogen Reduction

🖉 Bacteria

Second Contract Second Contrac

*∝* Algae

#### 🖉 Protozoa

(grazers/predators/absorbers)







www.microscopy-uk.org.uk



#### Biofilms/Zoogleal Film Formation & Function in

# Nematodes Pathogen Reduction

- feed on floc
- ingestion
  - farm biomat
- Z Rotifers

http://www.yorkcity.org/

cityservices/wwtp/micro.htm

- (+)ingestion/filtering
- (-)protection of pathogens



#### Biofilms/Zoogleal Film Formation & Function in Pathogen Reduction Annelid worms (mini-earthworms)

<u>http://www.yorkcity.org/</u> citvservices/wwtp/micro.htm

- plow through floc
- ingest floc

#### Filter fly larvae

- graze biomat
- promote biomat turnover



http://www.arrowpestcontrol.com/pages/drain

(&adults)





#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Biofilms/Zoogleal Film and Sloughing

- As biofilms develop organisms in the deepest layers lose access to nutrition and may die -off
- Then the biofilm may/does slough off
- The fragments of biofilms carry:
  - the outer attached treatment organisms
  - any attached pathogens with them.

Biofilms/Zoogleal Film Function in Pathogen Reduction

#### Filtration (packed beds)

#### Adsorption to biofilm matrix

- (some layers polyanionic)
- pathogens can be stuck in the "gleal goo"

#### Biofilm organisms

- eat/ingest/digest some pathogens
- however the pathogens can be protected inside body
- can overgrow and clog

#### Sloughed biofilms

- end up in clarifier
- in systems without clarifier ---on to dispersal

# Wastewater Pathogens General Groups

Pathogens : General groupings

For this presentation all of the following are called PATHOGENS

Sometimes they are divided into two groups:

- Those called Pathogens
  - Viruses
  - Bacteria
  - Fungi
- Those called Parasites
  - Protozoa
  - Helminths (Roundworms & Tapeworms)

Pathogens : General Size ranges

+ Virus

particles

particle size 20-100nm

spore size

spore size

- Bacteria spores
- 🕆 Fungi

spores

+ Protozoa

cysts

cyst size 10-100's microns

1-3-microns

~5

microns

#### Helminths

roundworm (ova)eggs 50-100 microns// worm inches - 1 +ft tapeworms (ova)eggs 50- 100 microns

Pathogens : Infectious Doses\*\*\*\*

+ Virus

Various

1-10 particles

+ Bacteria

<u>Shigella</u> 10 - 100 spores cholera 1,000 - 10,000,000

<u>Campylobacter</u> 100-1,000,000

#### Protozoa

Cryptosporidium 1 oocyst

(10 in healthy volunteers)

<u>Giardia</u>

10-100 oocysts

#### + Helminths

roundworms (embryonated) tapeworms 1-10 eggs

1-800 eggs

# Wastewater Pathogen Indicators



# **Criteria for Ideal Microbial Indicator**

Wastewater Microbiology:2nd edition 1999

- Member of the intestinal microflora of warm-blooded animals
- Should be present if pathogens are present, and absent in uncontaminated samples
- Should be present in greater numbers than the pathogens
- Should be at least equally resistant as the pathogens to environmental insults and to disinfection in water and wastewater treatment(s)
- Should not multiply in the environment
- Should be detectable by means of easy, rapid, and inexpensive methods
- Should be nonpathogenic

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction

#### Microbial Indicators of Fecal Contaminants

- Viral :Bacteriophage (viruses)
- **Bacterial** (used for the rest of pathogens):
  - Total Coliforms
  - Fecal Coliform Bacteria
  - Fecal Streptococci
  - Anaerobic Bacteria
    - Clostridium, Bifidobacteria, etc.

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen (microbial) Indicators

#### **VIRAL INDICATORS:**

- environmental detection
- assessing pathogen removal
- by wastewater treatment
- F-specific bacteriophages
  - indicator of wastewater
- Bacteriophage of Bacterioides spp.
  - Chlorine resistant/ some wastewater usefulness



Bacteriophage from Cells Alive

#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen (microbial) indicators Total Coliforms (bacteria)

- Aerobic and facultative anaerobic , gram neg, rod shaped, nonspore formers
- Include Escherichia coli, Enterobacter, Klebsiella etc.
- Less sensitive than viruses or protozoa to env/ disinfection
- Some may regrow (not all detected)

#### Fecal Coliforms (bacteria)

- All thermotolerant and ferment lactose (44.5C)
- Vertebrate Guts
- E. coli, Klebsiella, etc. :
- Survival pattern similar to path bacteria
- Less resistant than viruses or protozoan cysts to disinfection and environmental conditions

FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen (microbial) indicators

#### Fecal Streptococci

- <u>Streptococcus</u> 4 species
- subgroup enterococci : <u>S. faecalis</u> and <u>S. faecium</u> useful for viruses, especially in sludge and seawater

#### 🖉 Anaerobic Bacteria

- <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> (viruses & protozoan cysts)
  - useful as a tracer
- Bfidobacteria fecal indicator in the environment
- <u>Bacterioides</u> sp., fecal contamination of water

# SOME EFFLUENT NUMBERS

# Pathogen Concentration in Raw Wastewater / 100ml

🥢 Virus	100-50,000 particles	
🥖 Bacteria	<u>Shigella</u>	1- 1,000
	<u>Salmonella</u>	400-8,000
🥖 Protozoa	<u>Cryptosporidium</u>	1-10,000
	<u>Giardia</u>	50-10,000
Helminths		
- roundworms		1-1,800
-tapeworms		not a good number available

# Pathogen Concentration in Septic Tank Effluent / 100ml

✓ Virus 0 - 10<sup>5</sup> e.g. hepatitis, polio, coxsackie, coliphage
 ✓ Bacteria 10<sup>6</sup> - 10<sup>8</sup> e.g. <u>Salmonella.</u> Shigella, etc..

- 🖉 Protozoa
- Z Helminths
  - roundworms
  - tapeworms

# Pathogen Indicators Concentration in Septic Tank Effluent / 100ml

*∝* Viruses

specific 0 - 10<sup>7</sup> pfu (episodic high levels)

Fecal Coliforms

10<sup>5</sup> - 10<sup>8</sup> (EPA 600/2-78)

Fecal Streptococcus 10<sup>4</sup> - 10<sup>5</sup> (EPA 600/2-78)

# FIXED FILMS FILTERS

# PATHOGEN REDUCTION DATA

# FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction KENNG FILTER SYSTEMS

- septic tanks
- fixed film reactor
- clarifier (excess biomass)
- optional recirculation of effluent

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction Trickling filter

- EPA manual -
  - 1 2 log reduction fecal coliforms
  - says require minimum effluent disinfection for surface effluent requirements
- Bitton summarizes
  - that removal rate generally lower than activated sludge

#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction TRICKLING FILTERS Viruses/ viral indicators

Viruses

- generally low and erratic removal
- e.g. 59 91%
- eg 0 20 % removal . Yet high coliform >90%
- e.g. Efficiency of viral removal lower than coliforms
- Bacteriophage
  - erratic also
  - 40 90%
  - depends upon season

# FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction

# TRICKLING FILTERS : Pathogen removal erratic

#### Virus : 59 - 95% ; phage 40 - 90%

- fecal coliform indicators -e.g. (0-20% viruses ; >90%fecal)
- Lewis, Austin, Loutit, Sharples (1986) no significant red. In fecal coliforms or viruses.

#### **Bacteria**

- vary from 20 >90%, depending upon the operation
- Salmonella 73 95% (Feacham et al 1983)
- gen. 20-90% some pathogenic species removal lower

#### Protozoa

• Giardia, Entamoeba 74-91%

## 

- Protozoan Entamoeba histolytica
  - 71 91% in India
- Protozoan Giardia lamblia
  - similar removal rates as <u>E. coli</u>
  - 4- 44 cysts/L in the effluent
(General according to Gabriel and Bitton 1999)

- Low and erratic removal of pathogens and parasite
- filtration rate great affects the removal rate, lower rate = greater removal

Rotating Biological Contactors

- not much is known about pathogen removal
- one study by Sagy and Kott 1990 one log removal of fecal coliforms and <u>Salmonella</u>

#### - blue green algae helped? Reduce #'s

Sagy and Kott 1990. Efficiency of rotating biological contactors in removing pathogenic bacteria from domestic sewage. Water Res. 24:1125 - 1128.

- Rotating Biological Contactors (called rotating trickling filter)
  - Clarke and Chang 1975 Applied Microbiology 30:223 228
  - partially removed three types of viruses
  - low flow rates 85-94% removal
  - hi flow rates 59-81% removal
  - in this system fecal coliform and fecal streptococci are appropriate for estimating some viral reductions.

#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction SAND FILTERS - single pass

- viruses from 0- 10<sup>7</sup> to 0 10<sup>7</sup> pfu / 100 ml (episodic high) (Siegrist 2001)(no reduct.)
- bacteria depending upon sources/media size:
  - reduced to 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> fecal coliform 100 ml
  - reduced to 10 100 fecal coliform / 100 ml
  - from 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> to 10 10<sup>3</sup> FC (Siegrist 2001)
  - (under drains) reduced to < 200 cfu/100ml</li>
    Gustavson etal.(works with high cleaning rate)
  - June 2001 Lake Wash and Duluth MN -

» 4 sand filters <200 cfu/100ml

#### FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT Pathogen Reduction SAND FILTERS - single pass (cont)

- Protozoa (assume pore size< protozoan)
  - Use for <u>Giardia in</u> water supplies
  - Used for <u>Cryptosporidium</u> in water supplies careful with the backwash (Milwaukee)
- Helminth eggs <1/L Mexico City study(1999)

- RMF Recirculating Media Filters
  - Bitton: Because of the larger media size does not remove fecal coliforms as effectively as single pass. Need coarse media for higher loading rates.
  - Christopherson, Gustavson, Anderson. Found sand RMF reduction from 10<sup>9</sup> 10<sup>12</sup> to 5,000 10<sup>5</sup> fecal coliform still need be applied to soil infiltration systems (no biomat forms)
  - recirculating sand 2 systems MN2001 <200 fc/100ml.</li>

#### ✓ PEAT FILTERS

- Gustavson (MN) < 1,000 cfu/100ml FC
- Modular peat- Geerts, et al,2001
  - fc 94-99% reduction
  - viral 0-20% reduction
- N. Small Flows -2001 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> 99%FC
- Lake Washington/Duluth 2001 4 peat <200fc/100ml</li>
- City of Austin 2001 3-4 log reduction

## FIXED BIOFILM WASTEWATER TREATMENT FOAM : TEXTILE FILTER EFFLUENT

#### - Viruses

- 0-10<sup>7</sup>pfu/100ml tank
- 0 10<sup>7</sup> pfu / 100ml filter effluent
- episodically high
- bacteria FC -
  - 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> /100ml in tank to
    - 10 10<sup>3</sup>/100 ml in filter effluent
- ® Fuzzy Film for helminth eggs Mexico city study effluent <1 egg/l</p>

- Sequential units
  - fixed biofilm
  - suspended biofilm

## Some final thoughts.....

- Adsorptions to solids most effective in reducing viral loads
- Larger organisms better reduced with smaller pore sizes like sand filtration
- Minnesota as of June 2001 developing protocols for viral pathogen surrogates and bacterial surrogates....for methods to address need more of the comparatives studies
- Need information on infectivity of pathogens after treatment, not just the numbers.
- Developing pathogen mimics for all pathogens a new study in NC.



### Pooper Scooter Highboy - - - ->

# Racing model for the daring senior





